



UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION (UID)

Government SPI (Acceptance) Breakout




Government Single Process Initiative

- ◆ Objective for this afternoon's meeting
 - Challenges, criteria, approach for vetting an UID SPI
 - Minimum Requirements
- ◆ Reasons
 - DFARS clause has potential for multiple interpretations
 - Therefore Industry is having a difficult time consistently interpreting the policy
 - What agencies, what process, what categories
- ◆ Industry wants a single set of requirements from all programs
 - Not different items being marked from each program



What should the SPI contain?

- ◆ List of part numbers?
- ◆ Top level (Process Based)
 - Existing industries practice/policy for what parts get marked
- ◆ What if the contractor asks to do less than the DFARS?
 - The government should get consideration
 - Example of two programs that use same item but one wants a mark, and the other does not.
- ◆ Marking Spec
 - Company Wide
 - Industry Cooperative





What does Government want?

- ◆ Save time and resources during contract negotiation
 - Does SPI have a provision on how the cost is to be managed
- ◆ Consistent process across Platform and Services
 - Clearly defined Authority?
 - Primary Inventory Control Activity (verify that we can do PICA/SICA for Services)
- ◆ Process for Updating the SPI (DCMA Criteria)
- ◆ Proposal on items to mark
 - Process to use to determine what to mark and a process to use to vet the list, or
 - A list of Specific items?
 - A list of exceptions?
 - Such as items > \$5,000 that shouldn't be marked?
 - Items that are "Mission Critical" or "Controlled" that should?



What does the Government want?

- ◆ SPI to address process for determining Class I versus II changes and how they are vetted
- ◆ SPI should address how UII requirements are flowed to the subs
- ◆ SPI should address the mechanism for ensuring quality of the Data Matrix marking