



ACQUISITION,
TECHNOLOGY
AND LOGISTICS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3000

MAY -7 2013


MEMORANDUM FOR COMMANDER, UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS
COMMAND (ATTN: ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE)
COMMANDER, UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION
COMMAND (ATTN: ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
(PROCUREMENT)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
(ACQUISITION AND PROCUREMENT)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE
(CONTRACTING)
DIRECTORS OF THE DEFENSE AGENCIES
DIRECTORS OF THE DOD FIELD ACTIVITIES

SUBJECT: Publication of January 2013 Contract Data Scorecard

This memorandum is to notify you that the January 2013 Contract Data Scorecard metrics were posted at the Program Development and Implementation (PDI) webpage [http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/pdi/eb/monthly_contract_distribution_metrics.html]. The January Scorecard is at Attachment 1 and available online at the link provided above. For instructions on how to read the Contract Data Scorecard metrics, please see Attachment 3, also found at the above link.

Opportunities for improvements in our ability to post PDF and EDI files are still available. Attachment 2 of this memorandum lists offices that are awarding and reporting significant volumes of actions, yet are underperforming in their submission of data at the line item level (X12 EDI). These offices should take remedial action, focusing on the highest volume offices, and document their plans for improving performance as part of the quarterly Verification and Validation Plan submissions, due on August 15, 2013.

Questions and comments on the contents of each scorecard may be directed to my action officer, Mr. Bruce Propert, bruce.propert@osd.mil or 703-697-4384.


Richard Ginman
Director, Defense Procurement
and Acquisition Policy

Attachments:
As stated

Attachment 1: Contract Data Scorecard

Contract Data Scorecard (Summary)

Data as of: 02/27/2013

Date Run : 03/11/2013

Period 012013

COMPONENT NAME	(a) TOTAL FPDS REPORTED ACTIONS	(b) COUNT OF PDF MATCHES in EDA	(c) PERCENT PDF MATCH to FPDS [b/a]	(d) COUNT OF X12 (LINE ITEM DATA) MATCHES in EDA	(e) PERCENT LINE ITEM DATA MATCH to FPDS [d/a]	(f) COUNT OF PDS- FORMATTED FILE MATCHES in EDA	(g) PERCENT PDS MATCH to FPDS [f/a]
DEPT OF THE ARMY	19,730	16,836	85.3%	11,839	60.0%	1,827	9.3%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	18,661	16,404	87.9%	13,543	72.6%	3,723	20.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	10,581	9,611	90.8%	6,022	56.9%	518	4.9%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	42,491	39,784	93.6%	38,244	90.0%	2,602	6.1%
DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY (DARPA)	74	70	94.6%	69	93.2%	42	56.8%
DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY (DECA)	899	711	79.1%	707	78.6%	307	34.1%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	983	970	98.7%	495	50.4%	9	0.9%
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE (DFAS)	51	45	88.2%	31	60.8%	0	0.0%
DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY (DHRA)	70	62	88.6%	62	88.6%	26	37.1%
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY (DISA) *	1,247	718	57.6%	634	50.8%	144	11.5%
DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY (DMA)	33	29	87.9%	27	81.8%	0	0.0%
DEFENSE MICROELECTRONICS ACTIVITY (DMEA)	51	50	98.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY (DSCA)	44	40	90.9%	15	34.1%	7	15.9%
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE (DSS)	8	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY (DTRA)	123	122	99.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DEPT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY (DODEA)	130	122	93.8%	51	39.2%	19	14.6%
JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT ORGANIZATION (JIEDDO)	11	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY (MDA)	298	269	90.3%	269	90.3%	13	4.4%
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY (TMA)	138	132	95.7%	21	15.2%	16	11.6%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	510	480	94.1%	21	4.1%	0	0.0%
U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND (USTRANSCOM)	517	429	83.0%	147	28.4%	13	2.5%
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES (WHS)	227	209	92.1%	208	91.6%	58	25.6%
Grand Total	96,877	87,110	89.9%	72,405	74.7%	9,324	9.6%

Legend

>=90%	* DISA Office (HC1013) operates on a legacy system (Contracting Online Procurement System - COPS) which uses unique numbering rules for communications services authorization (CSA) contracts in EDA and FPDS, as permitted by DFARS 204.7102(b)(2), thus creating the inability to automatically mine for and match FPDS actions to EDA actions. If HC1013 is removed, DISA achieves the following: 85.1% of FPDS actions with a corresponding PDF are loaded in EDA; 74.6% of FPDS actions with corresponding X12/EDI files containing line item data; and 19.1% of FPDS actions with a corresponding PDS file. The legacy system is planned to be replaced with the DISA Enterprise Procurement System (DEPS), which is currently working with DPAP/PDI to implement the Procurement Data Standard (PDS) and to develop additions to the PDS to capture data specific to telecom contracts.
80%-89.99%	
70%-79.99%	
<=69.99%	

Contract Data Scorecard (PDS Office Count)

Data as of: 02/27/2013

Date Run : 03/05/2013

Period 012013

COMPONENT NAME	(a) TOTAL ACTIVE CONTRACTING OFFICES	(b) COUNT OF OFFICES SENDING PDS	(c) COUNT OF OFFICES NOT SENDING PDS	(d) PERCENT OF OFFICES SENDING PDS [b/a]
DEPT OF THE ARMY	240	186	54	77.50%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	169	46	123	27.22%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	245	79	166	32.24%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	167	38	129	22.75%
DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY (DARPA)	1	1	0	100.00%
DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY (DECA)	7	5	2	71.43%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	58	2	56	3.45%
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE (DFAS)	2	0	2	0.00%
DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY (DHRA)	1	1	0	100.00%
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY (DISA) *	5	4	1	80.00%
DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY (DMA)	2	0	2	0.00%
DEFENSE MICROELECTRONICS ACTIVITY (DMEA)	1	0	1	0.00%
DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY (DSCA)	2	1	1	50.00%
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE (DSS)	1	1	0	100.00%
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY (DTRA)	2	0	2	0.00%
DEPT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY (DODEA)	4	4	0	100.00%
JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT ORGANIZATION (JIEDDO)	1	0	1	0.00%
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY (MDA)	5	2	3	40.00%
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY (TMA)	3	2	1	66.67%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	8	0	8	0.00%
U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND (USTRANSCOM)	1	1	0	100.00%
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES (WHS)	1	1	0	100.00%
Grand Total	926	374	552	40.39%

Legend

>=90%

80%-89.99%

70%-79.99%

<=69.99%

Attachment 2: Offices with Line Item (X12 EDI/XML) Matches in EDA Below 60%*

The offices listed below are identified in the Contract Data Scorecard on the tab entitled "Line Item Match < 60%." The Contract Data Scorecard is posted on PDI webpage at the link provided above.

Contract Data Scorecard: Line Item Match Below 60%

Data as of: 01/30/2013

Date Run : 02/06/2013

Period: 01/2013

Legend:
250+ Actions
250 to 100 Actions
100 to 25 Actions

COMPONENT NAME	Issue DoDAAC	Total FPDS Reported Actions	Count of X12 (Line Item Data) Matches in EDA	Percent Line Item Data Match to PFDS
Offices with line item matches in EDA below 60% and over 250 actions awarded and reported in January.				
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP0600	285	0	0.0%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRMM1	376	202	53.7%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP4500	545	301	55.2%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRPA1	283	158	55.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8650	262	91	34.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W15P7T	476	60	12.6%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W31P4Q	472	61	12.9%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W58RGZ	346	46	13.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W56HZV	690	139	20.1%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W15QKN	343	85	24.8%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912QR	297	78	26.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W52P1J	491	134	27.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W911QY	347	193	55.6%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91Y TZ	352	205	58.2%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00173	331	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00014	311	1	0.3%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00104	1,904	462	24.3%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00383	473	125	26.4%
U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND (USTRANSCOM)	HTC711	517	147	28.4%
Offices with line item matches in EDA below 60% and 100 to 250 actions awarded and reported in January.				
DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY (DECA)	HDEC02	113	15	13.3%
COMPONENT NAME	Issue DoDAAC	Total FPDS Reported Actions	Count of X12 (Line Item Data) Matches in EDA	Percent Line Item Data Match to PFDS

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SA4705	102	0	0.0%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRTA1	102	0	0.0%
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY (DTRA)	HDTRA1	113	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8201	136	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8224	124	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4418	102	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8730	155	46	29.7%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8620	166	51	30.7%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8903	236	73	30.9%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8604	140	76	54.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W900KK	145	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W911QX	102	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912L1	164	6	3.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W909MY	102	5	4.9%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912ER	101	10	9.9%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91364	141	19	13.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912LA	119	35	29.4%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912L6	128	41	32.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W911SG	148	55	37.2%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912PQ	106	44	41.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912PB	107	56	52.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W911N2	181	95	52.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91YU0	203	110	54.2%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W564KV	146	84	57.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912NW	113	66	58.4%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N62793	196	37	18.9%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00178	203	107	52.7%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92222	166	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92254	123	0	0.0%
Offices with line item matches in EDA below 60% and 25 to 100 actions awarded and reported in January.				
DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY (DECA)	HDEC09	55	0	0.0%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	SJP11A	27	0	0.0%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	S2206A	30	2	6.7%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	S3101A	37	3	8.1%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	S0507A	47	15	31.9%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	S2404A	73	27	37.0%
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY (DISA)	HC1019	56	11	19.6%
COMPONENT NAME	Issue DoDAAC	Total FPDS Reported Actions	Count of X12 (Line Item Data) Matches in EDA	Percent Line Item Data Match to PFDS
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP4701	68	0	0.0%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP4705	42	0	0.0%

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP4703	73	5	6.8%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRHA1	51	4	7.8%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRDL1	63	9	14.3%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP7000	49	9	18.4%
DEFENSE MICROELECTRONICS ACTIVITY (DMEA)	H94003	51	0	0.0%
DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY (DSCA)	HQ0013	31	4	12.9%
DEPT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY (DODEA)	HE1280	72	15	20.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8100	33	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8106	69	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8108	83	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8109	26	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8110	29	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8117	86	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8118	50	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8119	38	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8121	28	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8122	52	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8509	41	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4809	53	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4661	59	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8751	41	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4613	56	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4621	40	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8540	41	1	2.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8721	81	2	2.5%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8222	37	1	2.7%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8527	69	2	2.9%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8625	32	1	3.1%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8626	28	2	7.1%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8523	33	3	9.1%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8538	94	9	9.6%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA2486	61	6	9.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8232	59	10	16.9%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8818	30	6	20.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8217	34	7	20.6%
COMPONENT NAME	Issue DoDAAC	Total FPDS Reported Actions	Count of X12 (Line Item Data) Matches in EDA	Percent Line Item Data Match to PFDS
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8052	89	19	21.3%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8621	50	12	24.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8252	45	11	24.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA9451	56	14	25.0%

DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8251	51	13	25.5%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8726	47	13	27.7%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8770	53	22	41.5%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8519	33	14	42.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8250	40	17	42.5%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8651	28	12	42.9%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8517	45	20	44.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8307	47	22	46.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8771	70	35	50.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8218	35	18	51.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4819	77	40	51.9%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA9300	69	40	58.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912D2	44	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W911YP	26	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91236	69	1	1.4%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91WAW	89	4	4.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912K3	32	2	6.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912LP	30	2	6.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912UM	47	5	10.6%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W911YN	29	4	13.8%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912KN	33	5	15.2%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912PP	98	18	18.4%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912L2	42	8	19.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912JC	47	9	19.1%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W9124X	26	5	19.2%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912CM	92	18	19.6%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912SV	58	12	20.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912JA	94	25	26.6%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912JV	96	28	29.2%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912JM	68	20	29.4%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912LM	42	13	31.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W560MY	65	23	35.4%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912DS	59	22	37.3%
COMPONENT NAME	Issue DoDAAC	Total FPDS Reported Actions	Count of X12 (Line Item Data) Matches in EDA	Percent Line Item Data Match to PFDS
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W9124Q	26	10	38.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912L7	43	17	39.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W911SF	90	36	40.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912NS	59	26	44.1%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W81K02	56	27	48.2%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912QG	33	16	48.5%

DEPT OF THE ARMY	W9114F	50	25	50.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912HQ	66	34	51.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W9124G	59	31	52.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912PM	43	23	53.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912PF	35	19	54.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W9124C	46	25	54.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91YTV	95	52	54.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912PA	29	16	55.2%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912LD	32	18	56.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912D0	77	45	58.4%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912P6	29	17	58.6%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912HN	74	44	59.5%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	M29000	58	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00030	27	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N57023	32	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N61054	51	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N62271	35	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N62758	32	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00167	36	17	47.2%
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY (TMA)	HT9402	79	0	0.0%
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY (TMA)	HT9404	36	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92239	27	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92240	48	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92241	36	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92242	31	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92243	58	0	0.0%

* With further research into the issue, using the report posted online, components may want to examine those offices displaying a significant volume of manual uploads to ensure that their adapters are turned on and functioning properly.

Attachment 3:

Interpreting the Contract Data Scorecard

What is the Contract Data Scorecard?

The Contract Data Scorecard measures Component progress sending contracting actions in three formats: 1) Portable Document Files (PDFs), 2) American National Standards Institute X.12 Electronic Data Interchange standard transaction sets (850 and 860), and 3) Procurement Data Standard (PDS) eXtensible Markup Language (XML) to the Electronic Document Access (EDA) system, by comparing all awards, orders, and modifications reported in FPDS to actions that successfully passed through the Global EXchange (GEX) and posted in EDA.

What is the requirement?

DFARS PGI 204.201 requires all contracting actions be sent as both document (Indexed Portable Document Format (PDF)) and data (American National Standards Institute X12 Electronic Data Interchange standard transaction sets (850 and 860) and DoD Procurement Data Standard eXtensible Markup Language (XML) format). DoD Contract Writing Systems are currently migrating from ANSI X12 EDI-based transactions sets to the PDS XML.

What does it mean to the Department to have contracts stored at EDA in three different formats? What's the difference between each?

There are **three** types of formats that contracting actions can be stored as in EDA.

Document Format:


1. Indexed Portable Document File (PDF)
 - a. The PDF is a scanned picture or image of a contracting action and when automatically uploaded by a Contract Writing system, it is accompanied with a Comma Separated Value (CSV) file which represents less than 1% of a contracting action as data;
 - b. The CSV file contains a limited set of header-level data on the contract (e.g. DO/TO, ACO Mod, PCO Mod, Issue Date, Issue DoDAAC, Admin DoDAAC, Pay DoDAAC, CAGE Code, and D-U-N-S Number).
 - c. This limited set of data found in the CSV file is commonly referred to as the "EDA Index".

Data Format(s):

2. American National Standards Institute (ANSI) X12 Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) standard transaction sets (850 and 860)
 - a. ANSI X12 EDI 850 and 860 transaction sets were developed in the 1990's to efficiently transmit contracts as data and enable traceability of deliveries and payments. The 850s and 860s represent approximately 80% of a contracting action as data and contain enough data to pre-populate WAWF.
 - b. EDA uses the X12 EDI to derive a sub-format of the EDI, commonly referred to as the EDA Synopsis XML or EDA-WAWF Summary XML, which was developed to capture a subset of the data contained on the 850 or 860 and to pre-populate WAWF with contracting data such as CLIN, destinations, line item descriptions, amounts, and quantities. This format may also include clause data for some sending systems.
3. DoD Procurement Data Standard (PDS) eXtensible Markup Language
 - a. The DoD Procurement Data Standard (PDS) defines the minimum data requirements to produce a contracting action and therefore represents 100% of the contract as data and is fully capable of being queried upon. This means the Department has

the entire contents of a contracting action, stored as data – including clauses, free form text fields, and contract structure elements such as section numbers of a contract.

Looking at One Contracting Action: How much data do we have in each File Format?



* May be translated from native CWS formats (e.g. SPS XML)

** Translated from: EDI, native CWS formats (e.g. SPS XML), or sent natively from CWSs as EDA WAWF Summary XML

How does the Contract Data Scorecard work?

Each action reported in FPDS (award, order, or modification) is checked to see if there is a corresponding document in EDA, whether the document was loaded automatically or manually³, whether line item data⁴ (using the ANSI X12 EDI format) was received, or whether PDS data was received. The totals are then summed by issuing office and DoD Component to show percent compliance. You'll note that both the Component Summary and the Office Detail metrics' title headings are color coordinated with the colors used in the above graphic for each data format.

For PDS formatted data, the scorecard also displays (in the "Supportive Analysis" areas) the percent of PDS-eligible awards, orders, and modifications based upon the following exclusions applied to an FPDS query:

³ The number loaded manually is provided to help determine whether the absence of data indicates an inability to send data due to contracts being written outside automated contract writing systems (e.g. Microsoft Word).

⁴ The index file sent with the PDF carries most of the basic data from the cover page of the contract such as issuing, administration and pay office DODAACs, contractor CAGE and DUNS, and contract number. The data file adds most of the data from the remainder of the contract, such as line item descriptions, amounts, schedules, funding, and delivery points and clauses.

- Technology and Telecommunications services authorized and issued by DISA / DITCO, specifically for service codes:
 - D304 – IT and Telecom – Telecommunications and Transmission
 - D316 – IT and Telecom – Telecommunications Network Management
 - D399 – IT and Telecom – Other IT and Telecommunications
 - Former Service Code, S113 – Effective October 1, 2012 was moved under D304

These exclusions are applicable because the first two phases of the PDS implementation have excluded contracts issued by DISA / DITCO for Telecommunications services. The PDS (v2.4) is applicable to awards and modifications for all other types of purchases. In May 2012 the Standard Procurement System (SPS) started sending modifications in PDS format to EDA.


As the Department’s primary focus is to increase the number of awards, orders, and modifications it stores in EDA as data, the scorecard also measures the number of active contracting offices that are sending actions in PDS format. An active office in this case is any issuing office which has either 1) reported at least one Contract Action Report (CAR) to FPDS.gov for the period or 2) has attempted to send at least one PDS formatted file to the GEX for upload to EDA. The count of offices can be found in the “PDS Office Count” tab. An example is represented below.

The complete list of each individual PDS-eligible award, order, or modification that was reported to FPDS.gov, but not loaded to EDA in PDS format has been provided in the “Awd, Ords, Mods NOT in PDS” tab of the scorecard.

April 2012 Scorecard (Participating Office Count)

Data as of 18 May 2012

COMPONENT	(a) TOTAL ACTIVE CONTRACTING OFFICES	(b) COUNT OF OFFICES SENDING PDS	(c) COUNT OF OFFICES NOT SENDING PDS	(d) PERCENT OF OFFICES SENDING PDS [b / a]
ARMY	244	195	49	79.92%
NAVY	173	19	154	10.98%
AIR FORCE	221	61	160	27.60%
DLA	167	0	167	0.00%
DARPA	1	1	0	100.00%
DCMA	62	0	62	0.00%
DECA	7	6	1	85.71%



Targets for PDS rates of success; the throughput rate of awards which passed all GEX edits

Count of Awards, Orders, & Modifications (actions) reported to FPDS

COMPONENT NAME	(a) TOTAL FPDS REPORTED ACTIONS	(b) COUNT OF PDF MATCHES in EDA	(c) PERCENT PDF MATCH to FPDS [b/a]	(d) COUNT OF X12 (LINE ITEM DATA) MATCHES in EDA	(e) PERCENT LINE ITEM DATA MATCH to FPDS [d/a]	(f) COUNT OF PDS-FORMATTED FILE MATCHES in EDA	(g) PERCENT PDS MATCH to FPDS [f/a]
----------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--	---	---	---	--

Count of PDF (Adobe) Awards, Orders, & Modifications reported in FPDS AND loaded to EDA

Percent of FPDS Actions with a corresponding PDF loaded in EDA (EDA PDFs / FPDS Actions)

Reported to FPDS, posted as PDF and as electronic data

Percent reported in FPDS with electronic data

Reported to FPDS, posted as PDF, and as PDS

Percent reported in FPDS with PDS data

(h) Count of Manually Loaded PDFs in EDA	(i) Count of Automatically Loaded PDFs in EDA	(j) Percent of PDFs Automatically Loaded [i/b]	(k) Count of PDS-Formatted File Matches SENT to GEX	(l) Percent of Total FPDS Actions SENT as PDS [k/a]	(m) PDS Passing Rate [f/k]	(n) Count of PDS eligible Actions	(o) Percent of all FPDS Actions that are PDS-Eligible [n/a]	(p) Percent of Eligible SENT as PDS [k/n]	(q) Percent of Eligible RECEIVED as PDS [f/n]	(r) Component Volume of Total FPDS Actions [a/sum(a)]	(s) Component Volume of Total PDS Eligible [n/sum(n)]
---	--	---	--	--	-------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Highlights offices where a portion of the contracts are probably not available as data because incapable of sending as data

Percent reported in FPDS that were SENT to GEX (either Pass or Fail)

FPDS reported Awards, Orders, & Modifications not for Telecommunications

Percent PDS eligible awards, orders, & Modifications sent to GEX

Percent share of FPDS reported actions

How Awards, Orders, & Modifications were uploaded to EDA; Automated via a system interface or Manual via a scanner (bv hand)

Reported to FPDS, posted as PDF, and SENT as PDS

Percent of PDS Sent that Passed the edits and are in EDA

Percent reported in FPDS that are PDS-eligible

Percent PDS eligible reported in EDA

Percent share of PDS eligible awards, orders, & modifications