



ACQUISITION,
TECHNOLOGY
AND LOGISTICS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3000

AUG -6 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR COMMANDER, UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS
COMMAND (ATTN: ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE)
COMMANDER, UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION
COMMAND (ATTN: ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
(PROCUREMENT)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
(ACQUISITION AND PROCUREMENT)
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE
(CONTRACTING)
DIRECTORS OF THE DEFENSE AGENCIES
DIRECTORS OF THE DOD FIELD ACTIVITIES

SUBJECT: Publication of June 2013 Contract Data Scorecard

This memorandum is to notify you that the June 2013 Contract Data Scorecard metrics are posted at the Program Development and Implementation (PDI) webpage [http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/pdi/eb/monthly_contract_distribution_metrics.html], and are also at Attachment 1. Instructions on how to read the Contract Data Scorecard metrics are also available at the above link and at Attachment 3.

Opportunities for improvements in our ability to post PDF and EDI files are still available. Attachment 2 of this memorandum lists offices that awarded and reported significant volumes of actions in June, yet continue to underperform in their submission of data at the line item level (X12 EDI) to the Electronic Document Access (EDA) system. These offices should take remedial action, focusing on the highest volume offices, and document their plans for improving performance as part of the end-of-year Verification and Validation (V&V) certification submissions, due on December 4, 2013.

Questions and comments on the contents of each scorecard may be directed to Mr. Bruce Probert, bruce.probert@osd.mil or 703-697-4384. Questions relating to V&V certifications may be directed to Ms. Lisa Romney, lisa.romney@osd.mil or 703-697-4396.

for 
Richard Ginman
Director, Defense Procurement
and Acquisition Policy

Attachments:
As stated

Contract Data Scorecard (Summary)

Data as of: 07/16/2013

Date Run : 07/26/2013

Period 062013

COMPONENT NAME	(a) TOTAL FPDS REPORTED ACTIONS	(b) COUNT OF PDF MATCHES in EDA	(c) PERCENT PDF MATCH to FPDS [b/a]	(d) COUNT OF X12 (LINE ITEM DATA) MATCHES in EDA	(e) PERCENT LINE ITEM DATA MATCH to FPDS [d/a]	(f) COUNT OF PDS- FORMATTED FILE MATCHES in EDA	(g) PERCENT PDS MATCH to FPDS [f/a]
DEPT OF THE ARMY	21,292	19,890	93.4%	16,670	78.3%	1,242	5.8%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	19,370	18,769	96.9%	15,756	81.3%	3,534	18.2%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	8,450	8,089	95.7%	5,177	61.3%	217	2.6%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	41,450	40,353	97.4%	38,122	92.0%	9,237	22.3%
DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY (DARPA)	90	90	100.0%	90	100.0%	67	74.4%
DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY (DECA)	577	548	95.0%	546	94.6%	109	18.9%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	1,477	1,472	99.7%	709	48.0%	29	2.0%
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE (DFAS)	45	44	97.8%	44	97.8%	7	15.6%
DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY (DHRA)	86	86	100.0%	86	100.0%	15	17.4%
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY (DISA) *	1,623	792	48.8%	771	47.5%	101	6.2%
DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY (DMA)	54	53	98.1%	52	96.3%	8	14.8%
DEFENSE MICROELECTRONICS ACTIVITY (DMEA)	63	63	100.0%	63	100.0%	0	0.0%
DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY (DSCA)	47	47	100.0%	40	85.1%	16	34.0%
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE (DSS)	31	31	100.0%	0	0.0%	4	12.9%
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY (DTRA)	176	176	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DEPT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY (DODEA)	196	196	100.0%	103	52.6%	6	3.1%
JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT ORGANIZATION (JIEDDO)	6	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY (MDA)	362	355	98.1%	353	97.5%	42	11.6%
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY (TMA)	190	183	96.3%	27	14.2%	32	16.8%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	558	554	99.3%	23	4.1%	9	1.6%
U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND (USTRANSCOM)	448	375	83.7%	144	32.1%	61	13.6%
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES (WHS)	285	279	97.9%	276	96.8%	79	27.7%
Grand Total	96,876	92,449	95.4%	79,052	81.6%	14,815	15.3%

Legend

>=90%
80%-89.99%
70%-79.99%
<=69.99%

* DISA Office (HC1013) operates on a legacy system (Contracting Online Procurement System - COPS) which uses unique numbering rules for communications services authorization (CSA) contracts in EDA and FPDS, as permitted by DFARS 204.7102(b)(2), thus creating the inability to automatically mine for and match FPDS actions to EDA actions. If HC1013 is removed, DISA achieves the following: 93% of FPDS actions with a corresponding PDF are loaded in EDA; 91% of FPDS actions with corresponding X12/EDI files containing line item data; and 12.6% of FPDS actions with a corresponding PDS file. The legacy system is planned to be replaced with the DISA Enterprise Procurement System (DEPS), which is currently working with DPAP/PDI to implement the Procurement Data Standard (PDS) and to develop additions to the PDS to capture data specific to telecom contracts.

Contract Data Scorecard (PDS Office Count)

Data as of: 07/16/2013

Date Run : 07/26/2013

Period 062013

COMPONENT NAME	(a) TOTAL ACTIVE CONTRACTING OFFICES	(b) COUNT OF OFFICES SENDING PDS	(c) COUNT OF OFFICES NOT SENDING PDS	(d) PERCENT OF OFFICES SENDING PDS [b/a]
DEPT OF THE ARMY	235	93	142	39.57%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	185	77	108	41.62%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	241	27	214	11.20%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	185	64	121	34.59%
DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY (DARPA)	1	1	0	100.00%
DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY (DECA)	7	6	1	85.71%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (DCMA)	63	2	61	3.17%
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE (DFAS)	2	2	0	100.00%
DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY (DHRA)	1	1	0	100.00%
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY (DISA)	5	4	1	80.00%
DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY (DMA)	2	2	0	100.00%
DEFENSE MICROELECTRONICS ACTIVITY (DMEA)	2	0	2	0.00%
DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY (DSCA)	2	1	1	50.00%
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE (DSS)	1	1	0	100.00%
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY (DTRA)	2	0	2	0.00%
DEPT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY (DODEA)	4	3	1	75.00%
JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT ORGANIZATION (JIEDDO)	1	0	1	0.00%
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY (MDA)	5	5	0	100.00%
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY (TMA)	4	3	1	75.00%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	8	1	7	12.50%
U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND (USTRANSCOM)	1	1	0	100.00%
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES (WHS)	1	1	0	100.00%
Grand Total	958	295	663	30.79%

Legend

>=90%

80%-89.99%

70%-79.99%

<=69.99%

Attachment 2: June Offices with Line Item (X12 EDI/XML) Matches in EDA Below 60%*

The offices listed below are identified in the Contract Data Scorecard on the tab entitled "Line Item Match < 60%." The Contract Data Scorecard is posted on PDI webpage at the link provided above.

Contract Data Scorecard: Line Item Match Below 60%

Data as of: 07/16/2013		Legend:			
Date Run: 07/26/2013		Greater than 250 Actions			
Period		250 to 100 Actions			
062013		100 to 25 Actions			
COMPONENT NAME	ISSUE DoDAAC	(a) TOTAL FPDS REPORTED ACTIONS	(b) COUNT OF PDF MATCHES in EDA	(c) COUNT OF X12 (LINE ITEM DATA) MATCHES in EDA	(d) PERCENT LINE ITEM DATA MATCH to FPDS
Offices with line item matches below 60% and over 250 actions awarded and reported in June					
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W15P7T	412	412	157	38.1%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W31P4Q	594	594	183	30.8%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W52P1J	491	491	243	49.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W56H2V	617	617	284	46.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W58RGZ	559	559	294	52.6%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00014	344	335	1	0.3%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00104	965	807	356	36.9%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00173	351	341	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00383	576	521	243	42.2%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8650	388	383	168	43.3%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP0600	365	211	0	0.0%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP4500	596	557	291	48.8%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRPA1	378	270	154	40.7%
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY (DISA) *	HC1013	824	49	44	5.3%
U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND (USTRANSCOM)	HTC711	448	375	144	32.1%
Offices with line item matches below 60% and 100 to 250 actions awarded and reported in June					
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W900KK	220	220	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W909MY	130	130	49	37.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912ER	106	8	8	7.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912L9	122	77	65	53.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912QR	234	62	62	26.5%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N00039	195	194	97	49.7%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N62793	168	166	46	27.4%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N66604	178	177	102	57.3%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4600	158	119	54	34.2%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8075	213	209	106	49.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8620	136	135	40	29.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8730	124	123	48	38.7%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8903	194	194	58	29.9%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPM4A6	132	17	8	6.1%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRTA1	112	112	66	58.9%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S2404A	245	245	84	34.3%
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY (DTRA)	HDTRA1	161	161	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92222	208	208	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92240	103	103	0	0.0%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92254	138	135	0	0.0%

COMPONENT NAME	ISSUE DoDAAC	(a) TOTAL FPDS REPORTED ACTIONS	(b) COUNT OF PDF MATCHES in EDA	(c) COUNT OF X12 (LINE ITEM DATA) MATCHES in EDA	(d) PERCENT LINE ITEM DATA MATCH to FPDS
Offices with line item matches below 60% and 25 to 100 actions awarded and reported in June					
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W560MY	51	51	27	52.9%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91236	46	2	2	4.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91282	29	29	15	51.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912D0	67	41	35	52.2%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912DS	58	13	13	22.4%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912JM	69	69	38	55.1%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912JV	96	20	6	6.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912L1	86	86	49	57.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912LP	30	30	14	46.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912PF	77	35	35	45.5%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912PP	82	17	17	20.7%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912UM	68	25	24	35.3%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W91364	71	0	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE ARMY	W912RU	29	29	15	51.7%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N57023	33	12	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N62271	69	9	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE NAVY	N62880	32	28	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA2486	73	73	7	9.6%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA3016	48	27	26	54.2%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA3089	42	26	25	59.5%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4407	65	63	22	33.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4497	34	12	11	32.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4803	45	43	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4877	48	41	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA4885	69	0	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8052	68	61	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8106	39	39	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8108	58	57	2	3.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8117	47	47	14	29.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8118	56	56	28	50.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8119	31	31	9	29.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8121	34	34	18	52.9%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8122	38	38	15	39.5%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8201	81	78	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8217	45	45	26	57.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8224	60	58	0	0.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8232	26	26	9	34.6%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8251	42	41	18	42.9%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8517	34	33	7	20.6%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8519	46	45	23	50.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8526	59	58	0	0.0%

COMPONENT NAME	ISSUE DoDAAC	(a) TOTAL FPDS REPORTED ACTIONS	(b) COUNT OF PDF MATCHES in EDA	(c) COUNT OF X12 (LINE ITEM DATA) MATCHES in EDA	(d) PERCENT LINE ITEM DATA MATCH to FPDS
Offices with line item matches below 60% and 25 to 100 actions awarded and reported in June					
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8527	35	33	4	11.4%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8621	41	41	14	34.1%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8625	37	37	6	16.2%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8629	61	61	25	41.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8651	33	33	17	51.5%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8681	29	29	10	34.5%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8726	46	46	16	34.8%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8770	28	28	12	42.9%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8771	42	41	19	45.2%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8807	32	32	9	28.1%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8808	30	30	15	50.0%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA8818	30	30	8	26.7%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA9301	52	48	31	59.6%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA9451	68	68	24	35.3%
DEPT OF THE AIR FORCE	FA9453	91	91	50	54.9%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SA4705	59	32	0	0.0%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP4701	60	47	22	36.7%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP4703	61	31	24	39.3%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SP7000	54	47	17	31.5%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPE600	38	35	1	2.6%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPM4A7	86	35	23	26.7%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRDL1	89	89	25	28.1%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRHA1	69	69	19	27.5%
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	SPRWA1	35	31	16	45.7%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S0302A	64	64	35	54.7%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S0305A	44	44	15	34.1%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S0512A	45	45	25	55.6%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S0514A	33	33	13	39.4%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S0602A	32	31	16	50.0%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S0701A	25	25	9	36.0%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S1109A	36	36	14	38.9%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S2101A	77	75	9	11.7%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S2206A	52	51	9	17.3%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S3605A	37	37	12	32.4%
DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	S4306A	29	29	15	51.7%
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY (DISA)	HC1021	29	14	13	44.8%
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE (DSS)	HS0021	31	31	0	0.0%
DEPT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY (DODEA)	HE1280	80	80	2	2.5%
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY (TMA)	HT9402	89	88	0	0.0%
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY (TMA)	HT9404	75	69	2	2.7%
U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND (USSOCOM)	H92241	46	46	0	0.0%

* Offices demonstrating high volumes of manual uploads are advised to verify that adapters are activated and functioning properly.

Interpreting the Contract Data Scorecard

What is the Contract Data Scorecard?

The Contract Data Scorecard measures Component progress sending contracting actions in three formats: 1) Portable Document Files (PDFs), 2) American National Standards Institute X.12 Electronic Data Interchange standard transaction sets (850 and 860), and 3) Procurement Data Standard (PDS) eXtensible Markup Language (XML) to the Electronic Document Access (EDA) system, by comparing all awards, orders, and modifications reported in FPDS to actions that successfully passed through the Global EXchange (GEX) and posted in EDA.

What is the requirement?

DFARS PGI 204.201 requires all contracting actions be sent as both document (Indexed Portable Document Format (PDF)) and data (American National Standards Institute X12 Electronic Data Interchange standard transaction sets (850 and 860) and DoD Procurement Data Standard eXtensible Markup Language (XML) format). DoD Contract Writing Systems are currently migrating from ANSI X12 EDI-based transactions sets to the PDS XML.

What does it mean to the Department to have contracts stored at EDA in three different formats? What's the difference between each?

There are **three** types of formats that contracting actions can be stored as in EDA.

Document Format:


1. Indexed Portable Document File (PDF)
 - a. The PDF is a scanned picture or image of a contracting action and when automatically uploaded by a Contract Writing system, it is accompanied with a Comma Separated Value (CSV) file which represents less than 1% of a contracting action as data;
 - b. The CSV file contains a limited set of header-level data on the contract (e.g. DO/TO, ACO Mod, PCO Mod, Issue Date, Issue DoDAAC, Admin DoDAAC, Pay DoDAAC, CAGE Code, and D-U-N-S Number).
 - c. This limited set of data found in the CSV file is commonly referred to as the "EDA Index".

Data Format(s):

2. American National Standards Institute (ANSI) X12 Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) standard transaction sets (850 and 860)
 - a. ANSI X12 EDI 850 and 860 transaction sets were developed in the 1990's to efficiently transmit contracts as data and enable traceability of deliveries and payments. The 850s and 860s represent approximately 80% of a contracting action as data and contain enough data to pre-populate WAWF.
 - b. EDA uses the X12 EDI to derive a sub-format of the EDI, commonly referred to as the EDA Synopsis XML or EDA-WAWF Summary XML, which was developed to capture a subset of the data contained on the 850 or 860 and to pre-populate WAWF with contracting data such as CLIN, destinations, line item descriptions, amounts, and quantities. This format may also include clause data for some sending systems.
3. DoD Procurement Data Standard (PDS) eXtensible Markup Language
 - a. The DoD Procurement Data Standard (PDS) defines the minimum data requirements to produce a contracting action and therefore represents 100% of the contract as data and is fully capable of being queried upon. This means the Department has

the entire contents of a contracting action, stored as data – including clauses, free form text fields, and contract structure elements such as section numbers of a contract.

Looking at One Contracting Action: How much data do we have in each File Format?



* May be translated from native CWS formats (e.g. SPS XML)

** Translated from: EDI, native CWS formats (e.g. SPS XML), or sent natively from CWSs as EDA WAWF Summary XML

How does the Contract Data Scorecard work?

Each action reported in FPDS (award, order, or modification) is checked to see if there is a corresponding document in EDA, whether the document was loaded automatically or manually¹, whether line item data² (using the ANSI X12 EDI format) was received, or whether PDS data was received. The totals are then summed by issuing office and DoD Component to show percent compliance. You'll note that both the Component Summary and the Office Detail metrics' title headings are color coordinated with the colors used in the above graphic for each data format.

For PDS formatted data, the scorecard also displays (in the "Supportive Analysis" areas) the percent of PDS-eligible awards, orders, and modifications based upon the following exclusions applied to an FPDS query:

¹ The number loaded manually is provided to help determine whether the absence of data indicates an inability to send data due to contracts being written outside automated contract writing systems (e.g. Microsoft Word).

² The index file sent with the PDF carries most of the basic data from the cover page of the contract such as issuing, administration and pay office DODAACs, contractor CAGE and DUNS, and contract number. The data file adds most of the data from the remainder of the contract, such as line item descriptions, amounts, schedules, funding, and delivery points and clauses.

- Technology and Telecommunications services authorized and issued by DISA / DITCO, specifically for service codes:
 - D304 – IT and Telecom – Telecommunications and Transmission
 - D316 – IT and Telecom – Telecommunications Network Management
 - D399 – IT and Telecom – Other IT and Telecommunications
 - Former Service Code, S113 – Effective October 1, 2012 was moved under D304

These exclusions are applicable because the first two phases of the PDS implementation have excluded contracts issued by DISA / DITCO for Telecommunications services. The PDS (v2.4) is applicable to awards and modifications for all other types of purchases. In May 2012 the Standard Procurement System (SPS) started sending modifications in PDS format to EDA.

As the Department’s primary focus is to increase the number of awards, orders, and modifications it stores in EDA as data, the scorecard also measures the number of active contracting offices that are sending actions in PDS format. An active office in this case is any issuing office which has either 1) reported at least one Contract Action Report (CAR) to FPDS.gov for the period or 2) has attempted to send at least one PDS formatted file to the GEX for upload to EDA. The count of offices can be found in the “PDS Office Count” tab. An example is represented below.

The complete list of each individual PDS-eligible award, order, or modification that was reported to FPDS.gov, but not loaded to EDA in PDS format has been provided in the “Awd, Ords, Mods NOT in PDS” tab of the scorecard.

April 2012 Scorecard (Participating Office Count)

Data as of 18 May 2012

COMPONENT	(a) TOTAL ACTIVE CONTRACTING OFFICES	(b) COUNT OF OFFICES SENDING PDS	(c) COUNT OF OFFICES NOT SENDING PDS	(d) PERCENT OF OFFICES SENDING PDS [b / a]
ARMY	244	195	49	79.92%
NAVY	173	19	154	10.98%
AIR FORCE	221	61	160	27.60%
DLA	167	0	167	0.00%
DARPA	1	1	0	100.00%
DCMA	62	0	62	0.00%
DECA	7	6	1	85.71%



Targets for PDS rates of success; the throughput rate of awards which passed all GEX edits

Count of Awards, Orders, & Modifications (actions) reported to FPDS

COMPONENT NAME	(a) TOTAL FPDS REPORTED ACTIONS	(b) COUNT OF PDF MATCHES in EDA	(c) PERCENT PDF MATCH to FPDS [b/a]	(d) COUNT OF X12 (LINE ITEM DATA) MATCHES in EDA	(e) PERCENT LINE ITEM DATA MATCH to FPDS [d/a]	(f) COUNT OF PDS-FORMATTED FILE MATCHES in EDA	(g) PERCENT PDS MATCH to FPDS [f/a]
----------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--	---	---	---	--

Count of PDF (Adobe) Awards, Orders, & Modifications reported in FPDS AND loaded to EDA

Percent of FPDS Actions with a corresponding PDF loaded in EDA (EDA PDFs / FPDS Actions)

Reported to FPDS, posted as PDF and as electronic data

Percent reported in FPDS with electronic data

Reported to FPDS, posted as PDF, and as PDS

Percent reported in FPDS with PDS data

(h) Count of Manually Loaded PDFs in EDA	(i) Count of Automatically Loaded PDFs in EDA	(j) Percent of PDFs Automatically Loaded [i/b]	(k) Count of PDS-Formatted File Matches SENT to GEX	(l) Percent of Total FPDS Actions SENT as PDS [k/a]	(m) PDS Passing Rate [f/k]	(n) Count of PDS eligible Actions	(o) Percent of all FPDS Actions that are PDS-Eligible [n/a]	(p) Percent of Eligible SENT as PDS [k/n]	(q) Percent of Eligible RECEIVED as PDS [f/n]	(r) Component Volume of Total FPDS Actions [a/sum(a)]	(s) Component Volume of Total PDS Eligible [n/sum(n)]
---	--	---	--	--	-------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Highlights offices where a portion of the contracts are probably not available as data because incapable of sending as data

Percent reported in FPDS that were SENT to GEX (either Pass or Fail)

FPDS reported Awards, Orders, & Modifications not for Telecommunications

Percent PDS eligible awards, orders, & Modifications sent to GEX

Percent share of FPDS reported actions

How Awards, Orders, & Modifications were uploaded to EDA; Automated via a system interface or Manual via a scanner (bv hand)

Reported to FPDS, posted as PDF, and SENT as PDS

Percent of PDS Sent that Passed the edits and are in EDA

Percent reported in FPDS that are PDS-eligible

Percent PDS eligible reported in EDA

Percent share of PDS eligible awards, orders, & modifications