

Best Practices Clearinghouse: *Making DOD Lessons Learned Come Alive and Be Practical*



**Forrest Shull,
Fraunhofer Center Maryland**

**Software Engineering Collaborator's Information Exchange
October 2009**



Objectives

- **Provide an overview of the DoD Acquisition Best Practices Clearinghouse (BPCh) approach and tool**
- **Describe our processes for working with both structured and unstructured content**
 - And raise interest in submitting your own content
- **Discuss some of the emerging priorities and best practices we are finding**



The DoD Acquisition Best Practices Clearinghouse

DoD Acquisition Best Practices Clearinghouse! - Windows Internet Explorer

https://bpch.dau.mil/Pages/default.aspx Certificate Error Google

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

DoD Acquisition Best Practices Clearinghouse!

Page Tools

Best Practices Clearinghouse
Connecting you to Government and Industry Best Practices

Defense Acquisition University



Home | DAU | Contact | Site Map | FAQ | Help | Search

- DAU Homepage
 - I Need Training
 - Continuous Learning
 - Knowledge Sharing
 - Performance Support
- BPCh Menu —
- Browse Content Views
 - Filter Content
 - Submit Content
 - Feedback
 - About BPCh

Welcome to the Acquisition Best Practices Clearinghouse



The DoD Acquisition Best Practices Clearinghouse (BPCh) facilitates the selection and implementation of systems engineering and software acquisition practices appropriate to the needs of individual acquisition programs. The BPCh uses an evidence-based approach, linking to existing resources that describe how to implement various best practices. These linked resources also provide descriptions of the practical results (both good and bad) of applying the practices in various contexts, from which users can learn about the results to be expected in their environment. All evidence stored is also contextualized, so that users will be guided to the lessons relevant to their program, type of problem, or specific situation.

Quick Search

Practices that have the most evidence

- Software Formal Inspections
- Pair Programming
- Trade Studies
- Architectural Reviews
- Integrated Project Data Repositories (IPDRs)

Evidences that have the highest trustability scores

- Advances in Software Inspections
- An Analysis of Defect Densities Found During Software Inspections

BPCh Learning Guides

- Guide Links
 - For First-Time Users of BPCh
 - Contributing Content to BPCh
 - BPCh Tutorials
 - Explaining Gold, Silver & Bronze Practice Maturity Levels
 - Understanding the BPCh Vetting Process

Gold Practices

- Pair Programming
- Software Formal Inspections

Practices that Reduce Schedule

- Include a Requirements Database in the RFP

Practices that Improve Quality

- Pair Programming
- Software Formal Inspections
- Software Walkthroughs

Acquisition KM Systems



Done

start | Internet | 100% | 4:34 PM



What makes BPCh unique?

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

- Not all best practices are “best” for everybody
 - Content includes descriptions of past results in context, not just what to do
 - Allows context-sensitive search (show me just the practices that programs like mine have used)
 - Recommendations built on evidence

- Pointers to existing sites, resources, examples




Overview of building content

Practice Maturity



(Silver)



Name: Practice X



- Practice X has been successfully applied ...
- Use it to ...
- For more information click on the following links:
 - ...



Evidence 1

Source
Context
Results



Evidence 2

Source
Context
Results



Evidence 3

Source
Context
Results



Evidence 4

Source
Context
Results



Definitions

Contents

- ▶ Intro to BPCh
- Processes and examples
- The users' view
- How can I get involved?

- A **practice**:
 - Is a *documented* activity that is described in an *actionable, repeatable* way
 - Is a description of *how* to do something, not a general goal of *what* to do
 - May be: A process, method, technique, standard...
- **Evidence** about a practice:
 - Is a description of an experience which provides a better understanding of a situation
 - Similar to a *lesson learned*
 - Composed of
 - ❖ A practice
 - ❖ A context and
 - ❖ A discernible result



Representing Context

Contents

- ▶ Intro to BPCh
- Processes and examples
- The users' view
- How can I get involved?


- Any piece of evidence is tagged according to where it was drawn from:
 - **Target role** (acquirer, developer)
 - **Domain** (warfighter, business, intelligence, enterprise integration environment)
 - **Criticality level** (normal, mission, safety, security)
 - **Integration level** (software application, standalone subsystem, platforms, major system, system of systems)
 - **Environment** (military, other govt., industry, academia)
 - **ACAT level** (I, IA, II, III)
 - **Lifecycle phases** where practice used (Concept refinement, Technology development, System development & demonstration, etc.)
 - **Organizational scope** (individual, project, program, organization, enterprise)



BPCh Content Manager and Subject Matter Experts (SMEs)

Contents

- Intro to BPCh
- Processes and examples
- The users' view
- How can I get involved?





Current Content Priorities

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

- As determined by Content Advisory Group, input from independent review teams, conference feedback:
 - Logistics
 - Systems Engineering
 - Modeling & Simulation (M&S)
 - Program Management
 - System Assurance
 - Contracting



Example: Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) Case Studies





Contents

Intro to BPCCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?





Example: AFIT Case Studies




Contents

Intro to BPCCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?



- Identifying practice leads:
 - AFIT 'learning principles' explicitly identified important lessons contributing to success / failure of systems analyzed
 - ❖ Mostly SE, PM
- Creating evidence:
 - The case studies provide in-depth examination of a particular program that could be mined for evidence
- Fleshing out practices:
 - Working with AFIT personnel and case study analysts to provide appropriate detail about the practices.



Example: AFIT Case Studies

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

- Example results:
 - **New / *Modified* Practices:**
 - ❖ ***Invest in*** and retain core engineers and staff
 - ❖ Integration of requirements and design process
 - ❖ Effective validation and verification requires a firm requirements baseline
 - ❖ Implement technology development plan when technology spans multiple programs
 - **Existing Practices:**
 - ❖ Independent Reviews
 - ❖ Work Breakdown Structure
 - ❖ Distributed Work Allocation
 - ❖ Architectural Trade-off Analysis Method (ATAM)
 - ❖ Systems Engineering Plan (SEP) Preparation Guide



Example: Program Support Reviews




Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?



- Identifying practice leads:
 - Conducted a brainstorming session with technical experts to capture trends, recurring problems
- Creating evidence:
 - Reviewers provided insights from the programs they reviewed, that illustrate the practices they discussed
- Fleshing out practices:
 - Plan to conduct follow-up meetings with the programs themselves to get more detail about *how* practices were implemented



Example: Program Support Reviews

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

Example practices:

- Include requirements database in Request for Proposal (RFP) process
- Get potential bidders to comment on SRR before RFP
- Develop system engineering plan prior to RFP release and include RFP
- Independent cost & schedule estimate
- Independent reviews
- Establish a battle rhythm for reports
- Integrated Developmental Test / Operational Test (DT/OT)



Other Emerging Practices: Logistics

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

- Performance-Based Logistics (PBL)
 - Business Case Analysis
 - Award Contract
 - Supply Chain Management
 - Performance-based agreements
 - Resource: DAU Acquisition Community Connection (ACC) PBL toolkit
- Sustainment
 - Technology Insertion
 - Software Sustainment
 - Item Unique Identification (IUID) / Radio Frequency Identification (RFID)
 - Independent Logistics Assessments
 - Prognostics & Health Management and Enhanced Diagnostics



Other Emerging Practices: M&S

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

- Involve Operational Test Authority in M&S planning to support DT/OT objectives
- Develop M&S plans and integrate with Test Evaluation and Management Plan (TEMP)
- M&S reuse
 - Based on: domain info, conceptual model, algorithms, software components, input data sets...
- Include M&S in contractual provisions
 - Addressing: representation requirements, data rights, M&S planning and documentation, ownership of resources...



What the User Sees... An Example Practice

Best Practices Clearinghouse
Connecting you to Government and Industry Best Practices

Home | DAU | Contact | Site Map | FAQ | Help | Search

You are here: Home > Systems Engineering Plan > Capabilities, Requirements and Concept(s) of Operation

All practices under "Capabilities, Requirements and Concept(s) of Operation" category

Maturity	Practice Summary Description	
	Systems Engineering Plan	Program Requirements
	CMMI Acquisition Module (CMMI-AM)	Technical Staffing and Organizational Planning
	Career Field	Technology Maturation and Planning
	Software Acquisition Management	Technical Review Planning
Bronze	Practice Name: Integration with Overall Program Management	Capabilities, Requirements and Concept(s) of Operation
	Practice Summary: Evaluations of the tradeoffs among operational capabilities, functional testing, and support processes; program schedule; and lifecycle cost	Other Requirements Linked to the Preferred System Concept
		Critical Technologies
		Technology Maturation Cost/ Schedule Constraints
		Technology Development and Evolving Acquisition Strategy
Bronze	Practice Name: Utility Curve Methodology	
	Practice Summary: A common methodology used to perform trade-off analysis. It is widely used for cost effectiveness analysis and p	
Bronze	Practice Name: Requirements Allocation Sheet	



What the User Sees... An Example Practice

Practice : Software Formal Inspections

Evidence (11) , Resources (2)

Practice Details

Evidence

Resources

Summary

Evidence Name	Rating	Overall Perception	Quality Experience Report	Criticality	Primary Benefit
What We Have Learned about Fighting Defects	8		Via interview		Improved Quality
Applying Program Comprehension Techniques to Improve Software Inspections	12		Workshop publication		Reduced Cost
Report on the Loss of the Mars Climate Orbiter Mission	9		Technical report (within an organization or university)		
The Empirical Investigation of Perspective-Based Reading	13		Archival journal publication (e.g. IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering)	Normal	Improved Quality
Comparing the Effectiveness of Software Testing Strategies	14		Archival journal publication (e.g. IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering)		Improved Quality
Space Shuttle Primary Onboard Software Development: Process Control and Defect Cause Analysis	12		Technical report (within an organization or university)	Safety critical	Improved Quality
Key Lessons in Achieving Widespread Inspection Use	17		Trade journal publication (e.g. CrossTalk)	Don't know	Reduced Cost
Experience with Inspection in Ultralarge-Scale Developments	18		Conference publication or 2nd-tier publication (EMSE, IEEE Software, CACM)	Don't know	Reduced Cost
An Analysis of Defect Densities Found During Software Inspections	19		Archival journal publication (e.g. IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering)		Improved Quality



Program Status

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

- **20 SMEs covering our priority practice areas**
 - Drawn from: DAU, OSD, AFIT, Lockheed Martin
- SME training modules online & being rolled out
- Content Advisory Group (CAG)
- Content processing and maintenance is ongoing
- Tool improvements (working toward v1.6)



Engaging New Participants

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

- Leveraging booth presence at NDIA-SE this month:
 - Sending an interview team to capture multimedia experiences from conference attendees
 - Integrating with track chairs and workshop on mentoring
 - Benefits to contributors:
 - ❖ First 100 interviewees get a DAU backpack
 - ❖ Recognition on the BPCh webpage as a content contributor
 - ❖ FCMD donates \$5/interviewee to Toys for Tots





Engaging New Participants

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?

- Recognition of important contributors
 - Outstanding Content Contributor award: Best content addition to the BPCh in the fiscal year
 - ❖ Frequency, quality, and significance of content
 - Outstanding Subject Matter Expert award: Individual providing the best subject-area support
 - ❖ Amount and quality of content processed, degree of connections to other resources



Engaging New Participants

Contents

Intro to BPCh

Processes and examples

The users' view

How can I get involved?


- Visit: <https://bpch.dau.mil>
 - Built-in feedback forms in the application
 - ...To give us a lead
 - ...To suggest a practice we should have
 - ...To tell us your experience with a practice
 - ...To give us a detailed experience report
 - Ability to integrate BPCh with in-house best practice / lessons learned systems
- Contact me...
 - *To suggest other content*
 - *To volunteer as a SME*



Questions?

Contents

- Intro to BPCh
- Processes and examples
- The users' view
- ▶ How can I get involved?



Feel free to contact:

Forrest Shull

fshull@fc-md.umd.edu
240-487-2904

or

Mike Lambert

Michael.Lambert@dau.mil
703-805-4555