MEMORANDUM FOR: SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Interagency Acquisition Policy Implementation Assessment

Section 813 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY 2007 (Pub. L 109-364) directed DoD to establish a “Panel on Contracting Integrity.” This panel is a Department-wide cross section of senior leaders with a mission to eliminate areas of vulnerabilities within the defense contracting system where fraud, waste, and abuse occur. The Panel identified two areas of vulnerability in Interagency acquisition – pre- and post-award oversight processes and the consideration of fees during the selection of interagency contracts.

Interagency acquisition requires the cooperation of customers, program managers, contracting officers, and financial managers to ensure we are making good business decisions. Specific requirements include: (a) verification that each organization’s internal policies are compliant with current DoD policy listed in Attachment A; (b) incorporation of the areas addressed in Attachment B as special interest items for use in command inspections, procurement management reviews, and operational readiness inspections during FY 2009; and (c) a report of findings to the Director, Defense Procurement, Acquisition Policy, and Strategic Sourcing by October 31, 2009, and annually thereafter through October 31, 2012.

This data will be reviewed during the collaborative audits of Interagency acquisition with DoDIG during 2010, 2011, and 2012, as required by Section 801, NDAA for FY 2008.

Attachments:
As stated
DISTRIBUTION LIST:
SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
UNDER SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE
COMMANDER, U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND
COMMANDER, U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND
DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (LOGISTICS
AND MATERIAL READINESS)
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING
ASSISTANT SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE
GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
DIRECTOR, ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT
DIRECTORS OF THE DEFENSE AGENCIES
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE PROCUREMENT, ACQUISITION POLICY, AND
STRATEGIC SOURCING
DIRECTOR, ACQUISITION RESOURCES AND ANALYSIS
DIRECTOR, PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT
DIRECTOR, SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAMS
DIRECTORS OF THE DOD FIELD ACTIVITIES
DoD policy and guidance on use of Interagency Acquisition is accessible at [http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/epic/cp/interagency_acquisition.html](http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/epic/cp/interagency_acquisition.html). These policy documents include:

- Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) (USD(C)) and Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) policy memorandum of October 29, 2004, “Proper Use of Non-DoD Contracts,” establishes a framework for making business decisions and requires Components to establish individual procedures to review and approve the use of non-DoD contract vehicles on or after January 1, 2005, for acquisitions greater than the simplified acquisition threshold.

- USD(AT&L)/DPAP memorandum of January 18, 2008, “Interagency Acquisition,” clarifies and summarizes the germane USD(C) and USD(AT&L) policies in one document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified Action</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Review Plan Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
• DPAP memo of January 18, 2008, amplifies and provides DoD-specific guidance regarding the proper use of non-DoD contracts and use of non-DoD contracting organizations.  
FAR 6.3 and FAR 8.405-6 require program managers to:  
• Perform due diligence and market research;  
• Provide the assisting agency with written justification for using other than full and open competition;  
• Ensure that statements of work/requirements clearly, precisely, and completely specify the supplies or service to be procured. | Review files regarding Interagency Acquisitions and associated Military Interdepartmental Purchase Requests (MIPRs) to determine compliance with DoD policy in both direct and assisted acquisitions.  
 Files should include:  
• Documentation that the acquisition meets a bona fide need;  
• A written agreement between the requesting agency and the assisting agency that clearly defines roles and responsibilities;  
• A determination that an assisted Interagency Acquisition is in the best interest of the Department;  
• Sufficient documentation to ensure an adequate audit. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified Action</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Review Plan Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Adequate assessment of fees charged when determining to use Interagency</td>
<td>• USD(C)/USD(AT&amp;L) memo of October 29, 2004, requires that fees must be considered when determining to use interagency acquisition procedures.</td>
<td>During contract execution reviews, procurement management reviews, command inspections, or operational readiness inspections, verify that files contain documentation justifying that these assisted acquisitions are in the best interest of the government and that the fees paid for assisted acquisition services are reasonable. Files should include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition.</td>
<td>• DPAP memo of January 18, 2008, provides guidance that fees paid should be commensurate with task and effort provided by the assisting agency. It requires a best interest determination.</td>
<td>• Non-Economy Act Acquisition Package Checklist;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• OFPP Guide requires the assisting agency to enter the dollar value of fees paid in the “Fee Paid for Use of Independent Delivery Vehicle (IDV)” field of the Federal Procurement Data System – Next Generation (FPDS-NG) database. It is a required field on Delivery Orders for both DoD and civilian agencies, and it records the actual fee paid in order to use the IDV.</td>
<td>• A record, by fiscal year, of the total dollar value of fees associated with each Interagency Acquisition order;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Contact information (name, organization, email address, telephone) for location of data on use and cost of interagency fees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>