MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Government Charge Card Disciplinary Guide for Civilian Employees


Section 2784a, as amended, now requires that the Department of Defense (DoD) issue regulations providing for appropriate corrective actions, including removal in appropriate cases, for employees who violate the regulations or are negligent or engage in misuse, abuse or fraud with respect to a Defense travel card. This act also requires an assessment of penalties. The Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003, Public Law 107-314, previously required that DoD issue regulations providing for appropriate corrective actions for employee government charge card violations. Existing Component disciplinary policies satisfy these requirements; however, the sample range of suggested penalties in the attached updated guidance ensures policies reflect consistent application.

Enhancements were made to the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) to document formal disciplinary and/or adverse actions taken for misconduct related to government charge cards. You were advised of these enhancements by my memorandum dated July 18, 2003. Please ensure continued use of these codes so we can support Office of Management and Budget requirements to provide quarterly updates on disciplinary and adverse actions related to government charge cards.

DoD Components must take action to implement the attached charge card disciplinary guide for civilian employees as necessary and advise my office of your actions. In addition, please advise my office of any additional administrative action, or any recommended legislation your Component considers necessary to effectively take disciplinary action against DoD civilian employees for improper, fraudulent, or abusive use of DoD travel cards. We anticipate that this information will be combined with similar information regarding military personnel and used to satisfy congressional
reporting requirements as outlined in subsection 1009(e) of Public Law 108-136. Please furnish this office by January 9, 2004, the information as described above.

My point of contact on this matter is Ms. Debra Buford at (703) 696-1263.
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C. Inspector General Memorandum dated September 25, 2002, “Guidance for the Investigation of Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Involving the Use of Purchase Cards and Travel Cards” (Appendix 1)
D. Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence) Memorandum dated November 4, 2002, “Suspension of Access to Classified Information Due to Abuse or Misuse of Government Charge Cards” (Appendix 2)
E. Sample of a Proposed Table of Potential Charge Card Offenses and Remedies (Appendix 3)

A. Purpose

This guide further implements references A and B for DoD civilian employees.

B. Scope

This guide applies to civilian employees, including those paid from non-appropriated funds, employed in the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Military Departments, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Combatant Commands, the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, the Defense agencies, the DoD Field Activities, and all other organizational entities within the Department of Defense (hereafter referred to collectively as the “DoD Components”).

C. Policy

It is DoD policy that improper, fraudulent, abusive, or negligent use of a government purchase or travel charge card is prohibited. This includes any use of government charge cards at establishments or for purposes that are inconsistent with the official business of DoD or with applicable regulations. DoD policy continues to require supervisors, who receive information indicating that a civilian employee has engaged in any misuse of a government charge card, take appropriate action, including an investigation if indicated. The supervisor of the responsible individual(s) will be informed in a timely manner so that appropriate corrective or disciplinary/adverse action may be taken. In addition, civilian personnel who fail to satisfy an indebtedness arising from the use of a
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government travel charge card or those who fail to do so in a timely manner may be
subject to corrective or disciplinary/adverse action.

The intent of this guide is to ensure that management emphasis is given to personal
accountability for government charge card misuse. There is no intent to deprive
managers and supervisors of their discretion in handling government charge card misuse
in a manner appropriate to each individual case. Likewise, there is no intent to revise
Component disciplinary policy. The circumstances of each individual case will
determine the appropriate type of corrective or disciplinary/adverse action, if any, which
may be imposed. Generally, a progression of increasingly severe penalties is appropriate
from minor instances of misuse to more serious cases. In some instances, the infraction
may warrant the most severe penalty for first offenses. Clearly, there is no single
response appropriate for all cases. While the merits of each case may be different,
timeliness, proportionality, and the exercise of good judgment and common sense are
always important. Where applicable, full consideration must be given to the relevant
“Douglas Factors” as provided in Douglas v. Veteran’s Administration, 5 MSPB 313

Each DoD Component must now submit documentation to the Deputy Under Secretary of
Defense (Civilian Personnel Policy) (DUSD(CPP)) outlining its policy/regulation and
how the policy/regulation meets the statutory requirements in section 1009 of the
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004, with respect to its civilian
employees. Likewise, this information should include additional administrative action, or
recommended legislation the Component considers necessary to effectively take
disciplinary action against DoD civilian employees for improper, fraudulent, or abusive
use of DoD travel cards. This information will be consolidated with comparable
information regarding military personnel and will be used to comply with the
comprehensive reporting requirement in section 1009. A sample table of potential charge
card offenses and remedies has been included with this guide at reference E.

Government charge card offense(s) should be framed in a manner that appropriately
describes the actions that constitutes the misconduct. The supporting Human Resources
Office should assist the appropriate supervisor who is considering corrective or
disciplinary/adverse action on the selection of the charge and appropriate penalty based
on Component past practice, regulatory guidance, applicable case law and good
judgment. Coordination with the appropriate legal office should occur early in the
process, as required by Component policy.
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D. Relationship to Security Clearances

The review of the security clearance (or the modification or revocation of such security clearances in light of this review) of the individual involved in credit card misuse or abuse cases is not a disciplinary action and should not be treated as such. However, this does not preclude a separate and independent review of such misuse or abuse by the appropriate security managers in accordance with references C and D. Modification or revocation of a security clearance will result in appropriate action, which could include reassignment or removal for failure to meet or maintain a condition of employment.

E. Responsibilities

The Heads of the DoD Components shall:

1) Provide documentation responding to the provisions of this guide to the DUSD(CPP) not later than January 9, 2004;
2) Ensure that commanders and supervisors are informed on the potential misuses and abuses of government charge cards and their responsibilities to have suspected misuses and abuses appropriately investigated; and
3) Ensure that commanders and supervisors are trained to take appropriate corrective or disciplinary/adverse action with respect to offenses involving government charge cards.
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SEP 25 2002

SUBJECT: Guidance for the Investigation of Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Involving the Use of Purchase Cards and Travel Cards

In accordance with recommendations of the Department of Defense Charge Card Task Force final report issued June 27, 2002, the following guidance is effective immediately and will remain in effect until included in a DoD issuance.

In its final report, the Task Force recommended that the Inspector General of the Department of Defense issue guidance regarding the notification of supervisors and security managers when a purchase card or travel card holder comes under investigation for misconduct associated with the use of charge cards.

When a Defense Criminal Investigative Organization or Department of Defense organizational element responsible for investigating potential misconduct involving the travel or purchase card initiates an investigation into allegations of fraud, misuse, or abuse of authority regarding a purchase card or a travel card, the cardholder’s commander or second-line supervisor, as appropriate, and security manager shall be notified. Wherever possible, this notification shall take place within 72 hours of the initiation. The term “commander” means a commissioned or warrant officer who, by virtue of rank and assignment, exercises primary command authority over a military organization or prescribed territorial area that under pertinent official directives is recognized as a “command.”

Components should incorporate this guidance into their respective regulations/directives.

Joseph E. Schmitz

cc:
Acting Director, Defense Criminal Investigative Service
Commander, US Army Criminal Investigation Command
Director, Naval Criminal Investigative Service
Commander, Air Force Office of Special Investigations
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SUBJECT: Suspension of Access to Classified Information Due to Abuse or Misuse of Government Charge Cards

The Charge Card Task Force established last March by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) investigated the Department’s charge card programs and recommended ways to strengthen the procedures and internal controls. One of the Task Force’s recommendations is that investigative agencies must ensure that security managers and supervisors are appropriately notified when a government purchase or travel charge cardholder comes under investigation for charge card misuse or abuse.

Prompt action is required in response to allegations of charge card misuse or abuse by Department of Defense (DoD) military or civilian personnel. The commander or head of the organization has the authority (per subparagraph C8.1.3. of DoD 5200.2-R) to suspend the individual’s classified access. Therefore, DoD Component security officials shall immediately report such allegations to the appropriate commander or head of a DoD organization. The commander or head of the organization shall take immediate action upon receipt of information that raises serious questions as to the individual’s ability or intent to protect classified information or execute sensitive duties. The commander or head of the organization shall make an immediate determination to either continue the individual’s security status unchanged or to suspend an individual’s access to classified information or assignment to sensitive duties until the appropriate authority designated in Appendix 5 of DoD 5200.2-R makes a final determination regarding the individual’s eligibility to retain a security clearance.
Financial responsibility and trustworthiness are key components for determining whether a military member or civilian employee is eligible for the issuance of, or continuation of, a security clearance. These same factors should be carefully considered should instances of abuse or misuse of a government purchase or travel card be alleged. Supervisors and security managers must consider whether suspension of the individual's access to classified information is appropriate based on the applicable security standards and the specific conduct of the individual.

John P. Stenbit

John P. Stenbit
SAMPLE SCHEDULE OF POTENTIAL CHARGE CARD OFFENSES AND REMEDIES

The chart below is one example of potential charge card offenses and remedies or penalties for such offenses. Components must otherwise comply with all applicable law and regulatory guidance in determining whether to impose disciplinary or adverse action in any specific case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFENSES</th>
<th>FIRST OFFENSE</th>
<th>SECOND OFFENSE</th>
<th>THIRD OFFENSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Misuse of Government Travel Charge Card (e.g. use for unauthorized personal expenses, failure to pay charge card bill or pay such bill in a timely manner)</td>
<td>Letter of Counseling to removal</td>
<td>5-day suspension to removal</td>
<td>10-day suspension to removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorized use of or failure to appropriately control use of Government Purchase Charge Card as a cardholder, approving official responsible for use or oversight of the Card.</td>
<td>Letter of Counseling to removal</td>
<td>14-day suspension to removal</td>
<td>30-day suspension to removal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>