

REPORT TO CONGRESS

CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS FACILITIES



**Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for
Acquisition and Sustainment**
3010 Defense Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301-3010

As requested by the House Appropriations Committee in its Report accompanying H.R. 2745, the
Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, 2020
(Report 116-63)

The estimated cost of this report or study for the Department of Defense is approximately \$24,000 in Fiscal Years 2020 - 2021. This includes \$500 in expenses and \$23,000 in DoD labor.

Generated on 2021Sep02

RefID: 6-105CEC2

I. INTRODUCTION

In its report accompanying H.R. 2745, the Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations, Bill, 2020 (House Report 116-63, page 13), the Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives, requests that the Secretary of Defense conduct a review of the condition of cyberspace operations facilities at military installations and submit the review and a plan to address the issues identified in the review, by fiscal year, to the congressional defense committees no later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

The Department of Defense (DoD) does not have a common definition of a cyberspace operations facility. Absent such definition, the DoD Components generally defined cyberspace operations facilities as any facility where the component executes offensive or defensive cyberspace operations, or where the component conducts Department of Defense Information Network operations. In the context of this report, the Components included communications, security, and operations facilities within their definition of what qualifies as a cyberspace operations facility.

II. REVIEW OF CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS FACILITIES CONDITIONS

The Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Construction) (ODASD Construction) requested the Military Departments, Defense Agencies, and Washington Headquarter Services provide a list of all its cyberspace operations facilities from real property inventories, and their respective condition. The following table indicates the total number of cyberspace operations facilities that were identified and the total number of facilities classified as Poor or Failing. The Components were also asked to provide a plan to address issues with their respective facilities to include military construction (MilCon) or facility sustainment, restoration, or modernization (FSRM) projects.

Component ¹	# of Cyberspace Operations Facilities	# Poor or Failing Condition	MilCon Reqmt	FSRM Reqmt	Other ²
Air Force	34	0	-	-	-
Army	42	5	5	-	-
National Security Agency (NSA)	10	5	2	2	1
Missile Defense Agency (MDA)	7	0	-	-	-
Navy	52	16	10	6	-
Total	145	26	17	8	1

¹ The following components provided negative responses: Washington Headquarters Service, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, Defense Intelligence Agency, Defense Threat Reduction Agency, Defense Logistics Agency, National Reconnaissance Office, and Department of Defense Education Activity.

² One NSA facility in a poor condition will be minimally maintained until the mission can be relocated to another facility that's in good condition.

III. PLANS TO ADDRESS CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS FACILITIES ISSUES

In addition to the facility condition for each cyberspace operations facility, ODASD Construction requested that each organization also include the organization's plan to address the

issues identified with their facility list. Below are the plans the Component's provided to address poor or failing facility conditions:

Component	Facility Name	City	State / Province	Country	Condition (FCI)	Plan to Address Condition
Army	Bldg 21721	FT Gordon	GA	USA	Poor	MilCon FY20
Army	Bldg 61801	FT Huachuca	AZ	USA	Poor	MilCon Requirement
Army	Bldg 2444	FT Belvoir	VA	USA	Poor	Repair Project, FY23
Army	Bldg 315	CAMP WALKER	NON-US	KOREA	Poor	MilCon FY22
Army	Bldg 97	CASERMA EDERLE	IT	ITALY	Poor	MilCon Requirement
Navy	TELEPHONE EXCHANGE	YOKOSUKA	NON-US	JAPAN	Poor	MilCon, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	COMMUNICATION FACILITY	LITTLE CREEK AMP BASE	VA	USA	Poor	Repair Project, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	TELEPHONE EXCHANGE BLDG	LITTLE CREEK AMP BASE	VA	USA	Poor	Repair Project, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	SATELLITE COMM GROUND STA.	FINEGAYAN	GUAM	GUAM	Poor	MilCon, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	COMMUNICATION CENTER	MANAMA	NON-US	BAHRAIN	Poor	MilCon, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	JOINT USE INTELLIGENCE CENT	NORFOLK	VA	USA	Failing	Repair Project, FY19
Navy	HDQTRS OPCON CENTER	NORFOLK	VA	USA	Poor	Repair project, FY21-22
Navy	SATCOM TERM SUPPORT BLDG	NAPLES	NON-US	ITALY	Poor	MilCon, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	COMMUNICATION CENTER	WAHIAWA	HI	USA	Failing	MilCon, FY18 Under Construction
Navy	SATCOMM TERMINAL BLDG	WAHIAWA	HI	USA	Poor	MilCon, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	NAVCOMTELSTA BLDG	SIGONELLA SICILY	NON-US	ITALY	Poor	MilCon, FY20, Under Construction
Navy	NAVAL OCEAN PROCESSING FAC	OAK HARBOR	WA	USA	Poor	MilCon, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	COMM ANALYSIS FACILITY	SAN DIEGO	CA	USA	Poor	Repair Project, FY21
Navy	COMMUNICATION BLDG 800KV	NORFOLK	VA	USA	Failing	MilCon, FY16, Under Construction
Navy	MAIL CENTER	NORFOLK	VA	USA	Poor	Repair Project, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
Navy	COMMUNICATION STATION	ROTA	NON-US	SPAIN	Poor	MilCon, FY 17, Under Construction
NSA	9701T - TEC Building	FT MEADE	MD	USA	Poor	Other, Minimal investments until the mission can be relocated to a facility in good condition.
NSA	OPS3 Bldg 9817	FT MEADE	MD	USA	Poor	MilCon, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.
NSA	FANX 3 Bldg 840	LINTHICUM	MD	USA	Poor	MilCon, Requirement to be considered for future budget requests.

Component	Facility Name	City	State / Province	Country	Condition (FCI)	Plan to Address Condition
NSA	R&E Bldg 9840	FT MEADE	MD	USA	Poor	Repair Projects, FY23-27
NSA	SPC Bldg 9706	FT MEADE	MD	USA	Poor	Repair Projects, FY23-27

IV. CONCLUSION

DoD mission priorities will continue to drive facility investment decisions on installations. The Department appreciates the support of Congress in providing the resources necessary for secure, up-to-date, and centralized cyberspace operations facilities and military installations because of the crucial role they play in securing information technology infrastructure.